

Evidence for Evolution

Mark Bergemann

Creationists often say, “There is no evidence for [insert a part of evolution here].” I do this sometimes too, but we should consider how others can take these claims. Such claims are often poor apologetics. If we present this claim to a student, and then that student hears about transitional fossil or other evidence when we said there is none, that student may conclude that we do not know what we are talking about.

It is a far stronger claim to accept that there are some transitional fossils and that there is evidence for evolution, but to mention the great weakness of that evidence, or how that evidence is based on the unprovable assumptions of “no God,” “no Flood,” and/or “deep time” (millions of years). Point out how the same fossil, rock, etc. is not only evidence for evolution, but also evidence for creation.

Learn a Lutheran response to evolution by reading the *LSI Journal*. Important articles include:

“Assumptions of Evolutionists” (www.LutheranScience.org/2017fall)

“Natural Selection” (www.LutheranScience.org/2016fall)

“Geologic Column” (www.LutheranScience.org/2018winter)

“Evolutionists and Creationists Often Agree –There are many points of agreement on natural selection” (www.LutheranScience.org/2019spring)

Evolutionists present much evidence and many fossils as supporting evolution. For us to simply say there is none, is to be incorrect. We need to address the evidence evolutionists present, or the assumptions on which that evidence is based.

Weak evidence is still evidence. Weak evidence can be very convincing to many. Also, not all evidence for evolution is weak. Pointing out the unprovable assumptions on which that evidence is based is a solid apologetic, even against the strongest evidence for evolution.